



SHEET METAL AND AIR CONDITIONING CONTRACTORS' NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, INC.

February 11, 2011

United States Senate  
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator:

The Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning Contractors' National Association (SMACNA) is supported by more than 5,000 construction firms engaged in industrial, commercial, residential, architectural and specialty sheet metal and air conditioning construction in public and private markets throughout the United States. SMACNA members can be found on a wide variety of projects across the nation in urban and suburban areas. We specialize in heating, ventilating and air conditioning; architectural sheet metal; industrial sheet metal; kitchen equipment; specialty stainless steel work; manufacturing; siding and decking; testing and balancing; service; and energy management and maintenance. **On behalf of SMACNA, I want to express our disappointment with your vote to bar prevailing wage coverage as part of the debate on S. 223, the FAA Re-Authorization bill.** By rejecting the amendment offered by Senator Paul (R-KY) the Senate in a strong bipartisan vote supported common sense economics as well as construction quality and productivity. **We regret you were amongst those supporting the Paul amendment.**

SMACNA and our thousands of infrastructure contractors greatly appreciate that **S. 223** recognizes the importance and merit in prevailing wages as part of any quality based public procurement policy. Federal, state and local prevailing wage laws encourage employers to:

- Pay a locally prevailing wage
- Offer health care coverage to their employees and their families
- Provide for the future retirement of their employees and
- Make a significant investment in the future by training a skilled and safety conscious workforce.

Support of prevailing wages on public infrastructure represents a commitment to construction quality and the future. Without prevailing wage statutes, many contractors will simply shift the cost of employee health, training, retirement and welfare benefits to localities across the nation. Support of a prevailing wage policy fosters practices and programs which lessen today's and tomorrow's burden on the public sector. **Members of Congress should understand that cost shifting of health, retirement and training costs by low bid firms opposing prevailing wage coverage does not save funds for communities on public construction.**

From decades of experience SMACNA member firms understand the merit in a public procurement policy that encourages employers to provide quality wages, benefits and training. Further, we know that continuing federal commitment to requiring the payment of prevailing wages and benefits should not be cast as a union versus nonunion issue. According to a recent Department of Labor report, nearly 75% of Davis-Bacon decisions for federal projects **pay less** than the union wage. In fact, most all prevailing wage rates are far below union scale, some at or nearer to the minimum wage, almost all without fringe benefits of any kind. Prevailing wage laws seek to prevent the federal government from undermining local economies and prevailing local employment and training practices by reflecting local conditions.



HEADQUARTERS 4201 LAFAYETTE CENTER DRIVE • CHANTILLY VA 20151-1209  
MAIL ADDRESS P.O. BOX 221230 • CHANTILLY VA 20153-1230  
PHONE 703 803 2980  
FAX 703 803 3732  
WEB [www.smacna.org](http://www.smacna.org)

We also ask that you carefully reconsider the critical role the Davis-Bacon Act plays in maintaining a well-trained, highly productive construction workforce. To date this important impact has been almost entirely overlooked. When productivity, quality of workmanship and life cycles costs of construction are taken into consideration, it becomes apparent that prevailing wage law is not only **NOT** costing the government money, but is most likely saving it money. More than half of major private construction is awarded based upon a negotiated rather than a low-bid basis for this very reason- -first costs are not a true indication of the overall cost or quality of construction projects. Numerous studies in recent years have used actual Dodge Reports for thousands of construction project to document lower costs for certain building types in prevailing wage states as compared to non-prevailing wage states due to the greater productivity of trained, skilled workforce.

While Congress has received largely misleading, exaggerated and inaccurate information from anti prevailing wage forces on both the estimated savings and the policy consequences of using locally prevailing wages, we applauded the bipartisan support for the Davis Bacon Act in the 112th Congress. First rate construction industry firms should not be disadvantaged when bidding federal projects because they offer their employees locally prevailing wages, health care, pensions and skill training. This would be the impact if the prevailing wages were excluded from major federal infrastructure spending programs.

**While we regret your support for repealing prevailing wage coverage from S. 223, the FAA Re-Authorization bill**, we offer to meet with you and your staff to explain how local prevailing wage and benefit standards best serve construction quality, productivity and safety for all public projects.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Stanley E. Kolbe, Jr." The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above the typed name.

Stanley E. Kolbe, Jr.  
Director, Governmental Affairs  
SMACNA, Inc.  
Capitol Hill Office