



SHEET METAL AND AIR CONDITIONING CONTRACTORS' NATIONAL ASSOCIATION

February 23, 2011

U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Representative:

The Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning Contractors' National Association (SMACNA) is supported by more than 5,000 construction firms engaged in industrial, commercial, residential, architectural and specialty sheet metal and air conditioning construction in public and private markets throughout the United States. SMACNA members can be found on a wide variety of projects across the nation in urban and suburban areas. We specialize in heating, ventilating and air conditioning; architectural sheet metal; industrial sheet metal; kitchen equipment; specialty stainless steel work; manufacturing; siding and decking; testing and balancing; service; and energy management and maintenance. **On behalf of SMACNA, I want to express our appreciation for your vote to support Davis-Bacon Act prevailing wage coverage as part of the debate on HR 1 (vote #144).** By rejecting the amendment offered by Rep King (R-IA) the House spoke in a strong bipartisan voice to support common sense economics as well as construction quality and productivity. **We wish to express our enthusiastic appreciation that you were amongst those opposing the King amendment attempting to kill Davis-Bacon prevailing wage coverage in H.R. 1. It is important to our thousands of firms and their employees.**

SMACNA and our thousands of infrastructure contractors greatly appreciate that **HR 1** will continue to recognize the importance and merit in prevailing wages as part of any quality based public procurement policy. Federal, state and local prevailing wage laws encourage employers to:

- Pay a locally prevailing wage
- Offer health care coverage to their employees and their families
- Provide for the future retirement of their employees and
- Make a significant investment in the future by training a skilled and safety conscious workforce.

Support of prevailing wages on public infrastructure represents a commitment to construction quality and the future. Without prevailing wage statutes, many contractors will simply shift the cost of employee health, training, retirement and welfare benefits to localities across the nation. Support of a prevailing wage policy fosters practices and programs which lessen today's and tomorrow's burden on the public sector. **Members of Congress should understand that cost shifting by low bid firms opposing prevailing wage coverage does not save funds for communities on public construction.**

From decades of experience SMACNA member firms understand the merit in a public procurement policy that encourages employers to provide quality wages, benefits and training. Further, we know that continuing federal commitment to requiring the payment of prevailing wages and benefits should not be cast as a union versus nonunion issue. **According to Department of Labor reports, nearly 75% of Davis-Bacon decisions for federal projects pay less than the union wage.** In fact, most all prevailing wage rates are far below



CAPITOL HILL OFFICE: 305 4TH STREET NE • WASHINGTON DC 20002
PHONE: 202 547 8202 • FAX: 202 547 8810

HEADQUARTERS: 4201 LAFAYETTE CENTER DRIVE • CHANTILLY VA 20151-1209
MAIL ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 221230 • CHANTILLY VA 20153-1230
PHONE: 703 803 2980 • FAX: 703 803 3732
WEB: www.smacna.org

union scale, some at or nearer the minimum wage than a union rate, almost all without fringe benefits of any kind. Prevailing wage laws seek to prevent the federal government from undermining local economies and prevailing local employment and training practices by reflecting local conditions.

We have asked Davis-Bacon opponents to carefully reconsider the critical role prevailing wage standards play in maintaining a well-trained, highly productive construction workforce. To date this important impact has been almost entirely overlooked. When productivity, quality of workmanship and life cycles costs of complex construction are taken into consideration, it becomes apparent that prevailing wage law is not only **NOT** costing the government money, but is most likely saving it money. More than half of major private construction is awarded based upon a negotiated rather than a low-bid basis for this very reason- -first costs are not a true indication of the overall cost or quality of construction projects. Numerous studies in recent years have used actual Dodge Reports for thousands of construction project to document lower costs for certain building types in prevailing wage states as compared to non-prevailing wage states due to the greater productivity of trained, skilled workforce.

While some in Congress have been misled by largely misleading, exaggerated and inaccurate information from anti prevailing wage forces on both the estimated savings and the policy consequences of using locally prevailing wages, we applaud you joining the bipartisan support for the Davis Bacon Act in the 112th Congress. First rate construction industry firms should not be disadvantaged when bidding federal projects because they offer their employees locally prevailing wages, health care, pensions and skill training. This would be the impact if the prevailing wages were excluded from major federal infrastructure spending programs.

We greatly appreciate your support for enforcing prevailing wage coverage as part of HR 1. Further we offer to meet with you should you have any questions on how to generate even greater support for prevailing wage and benefit standards to better serve construction quality, productivity and safety for all public projects.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Stanley E. Kolbe, Jr." with a stylized, cursive script.

Stanley E. Kolbe, Jr.
Director, Governmental Affairs
SMACNA, Inc.
Capitol Hill Office